# Translation

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B-68-471-PCT	B-68-471-PCT					
International application No. PCT/IB2003/000688	International filing date (c 25 février 2003 (2		Priority date (day/month/year) 12 décembre 2002 (12.12.2002)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G04B 37/04, 37/14, 37/00	ational classification and I	PC	-			
Applicant	BOUILLE	, Eric				
Authority and is transmitted to the	applicant according to Arti	cie 36.	International Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of a total of  This report is also accomplished and are the (see Rule 70.16 and Section	anied by ANNEXES, i.e., s	sheets of the descrip	otion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority			
These annexes consist of a	total ofsh	neets.				
3. This report contains indications re	lating to the following item	ns:				
I Basis of the repo	rt					
II Priority						
III Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard t	to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of						
v Reasoned staten citations and ex	nent under Article 35(2) wi planations supporting such	th regard to novelty statement	, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
VI Certain docume	nts cited					
VII Certain defects	VII Certain defects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	n of this report			
09 Jul. 2004 (09.07	7.2004)		01 Feb. 2005 (01.02.2005)			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/I	EP	Authorized office	r			
P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Facsimile No. +31 70 340-3016		Telephone No. +	Burns, M 31 70 340-8942			

International application No.

# PCT/IB2003/000688

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

L Basis of the					
1. This report l under Article	nas been drawn or 14 are referred to it	the basis of (Repla this report as "orig	acement sheets w ginally filed" an	hich have been furnished to the id are not annexed to the repo	e receiving Office in response to an invitation ort since they do not contain amendments.):
	the international a	application as origi	inally filed.		
$\boxtimes$	the description,	pages	1-7,	as originally filed,	
				filed with the demand,	
					,
		pages	,	filed with the letter of	·
$\boxtimes$	the claims,			, as originally filed,	
		Nos.		, as amended under Article	19,
		Nos.		, filed with the demand,	
					29 September 2004 (29.09.2004) ,
		Nos.		, filed with the letter of	
$\boxtimes$	the drawings,	sheets/fig	1/7-7/7	, as originally filed,	
				, filed with the demand,	
					, ,
		sheets/fig		, filed with the letter of	·
2. The amend	ments have result	ed in the cancellat	ion of:		
	the description,	pages			
	the claims,	Nos10	0-15		
	the drawings,	sheets/fig			
o. Lu to g	s report has been of the disc	losure as filed, as i	ome of) the am indicated in the	endments had not been mad Supplemental Box (Rule 7	le, since they have been considered 0.2(c)).

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/00688

## I. Basis of the report

- This report has been drawn on the basis of (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.):
  - 1. The amendments submitted with the letter of 29
    September 2004 cause the subject matter of the
    application to be extended beyond the content of
    the application as filed. Therefore, they are
    contrary to the provisions of PCT Article 34(2)(b).
    The amendments in question are as follows:
  - 1.1 The following terms used in the new claim 1 are not found in the application as originally filed:
    - attachment member,
    - connecting and engaging member,
    - positioning member.
  - 1.2 The examination of the application has therefore been carried out on the basis of the claims as originally filed.

International application No. PCT/IB 03/00688

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	2-4, 7, 8	YES
	Claims	1, 5, 6, 9	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-9	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES
	Claims		NO

### 2. Citations and explanations

- Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: CH 674 291 A (COHEN, RAPHAËL) 31 May 1990 (1990-05-31)
  - D2: CH 659 167 A (ZIHLMANN, FRANÇOIS) 15 January 1987 (1987-01-15)
  - D3: FR-A-2 741 964 (MARESCHAL JEAN CHRISTOPHE) 6
    June 1997 (1997-06-06)
- 2. The present application fails to comply with the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) since the subject matter of claim 1 does not meet the requirement of novelty defined in PCT Article 33(2).
- 2.1 Document D1 describes (the reference signs between parentheses apply to this document):

  A device for reversing an article such as a wristwatch and conferring interchangeability thereon (page 3, column 2, lines 51-54; figure 4), including at least one reversible and interchangeable element (wristwatch 1 or strap 5), comprising a recess (19)

and an attachment element (7, 8, 9, 10) projecting from and secured to the strap (figure 3) in such a

1

way that it is axially insertable into [optionally in a parallel direction] and movable in a direction parallel to the recess until it reaches a stop position and is rotated into the attachment position (figure 2).

2.2 Dependent claims 2 to 15 do not contain any features which, when combined with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, might define subject matter that complies with the requirements of novelty and/or inventive step of the PCT (see documents D1 to D3 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report). Specifically, it should be noted that:

The subject matter of claims 2 to 4 relates to trivial measures.

The subject matter of claims 5, 6, 9, 10 and 13 to 15 is known from document D1.

The subject matter of claim 7 appears to be arbitrary and does not lead to a technical advantage over the prior art.

The subject matter of claim 8 is known in combination from documents D1 and D2.

The subject matter of claim 11 is known from document D3.

The subject matter of claim 12 is known from document D2.